(1)

Single Bench (3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4452 /2004

PETITIONER :

Tikaram Dandsena
S/o Sukhram @ Sukhru Ram Dandsena,
Aged about 43 years,
R/o.Sodhka,
Tahsil- Kharsia,
District- Raigarh (C.G.).

P.R. No. ASO/CY
Presented by Shri R.K. Patil
dated 19-11-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary Woman & Child Development Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Additional Collector, Raigarh.

3. District Woman & Child Development Department,
District Raigarh (C.G.).

4. The Labour Officer, District office Raigarh, District- Raigarh (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
19-11-04
Chhattisgarh Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

24

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 44 52 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	18.1.2005 Shri P.K. Patel, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer appears for the State/ respondents No.1, 2 and 3. Heard. I.A.No.8964/2004 for urgent hearing is allowed. The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was earlier appointed on adhoc basis, but later on he was given a regular pay scale. He further submits that without assigning any reason, the authorities of the department have withdrawn the aforesaid pay scale granted to the petitioner. Against the said order, the petitioner had filed an application before the M.P.State Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Raipur which was registered as O.A.No.119/1998. Referring to Annexure P-5, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforesaid Original Application was withdrawn on 08.2.2002 with liberty to file a case before the competent forum. He further submits that thereafter he filed an application before the Labour Officer, District Raigarh (C.G) who has been arrayed as respondent no.4 herein. A copy of the application is filed as Annexure P-1. Learned counsel submits that this application was filed in the year 2002, but no action has been taken in this regard. He makes a limited prayer that respondent no.4 should be directed to dispose of his application expeditiously in accordance with law.	

GI.PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4452 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

Learned Counsel for the State has no objection to the said prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Prayer is allowed.

It is directed that respondent no.4 shall dispose of the application (Annexure P-1) as early as possible preferably within a period of 6 months from the date of filing of a copy of this order alongwith a copy of the W.P.No.4452/2004.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of.

Accordingly, M.W.P.No.4793/2004 and I.A.8964/04 also stand disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Gr. PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

19/1/05
22.1.05